UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,192	04/13/2005	Makoto Ouchi	MIPFP160	6287	
25920 7590 09/12/2008 MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			EXAMINER		
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE			PATEL, JAYESH A		
	SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/531,192	OUCHI, MAKOTO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAYESH A. PATEL	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ag     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a)	vn from consideration.  r election requirement. r.	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,—	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of format 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/06 and 08/24/06 and 08/25/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			



Application No.

Art Unit: 2624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV. reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim(s) 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 1-11 defines an image processing apparatus [the apparatus is just a program or software as is recited in the specification (see page 5 of the specification, "computer programs that attain the functions of such apparatuses") embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-

Art Unit: 2624

readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed apparatus [or merely a program or software] can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The Examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Or instead, the Examiner suggests amending the claim to embody actual hardware components to the independent apparatus claim to show that an actual apparatus is being claimed instead of software. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure; if the Applicant chooses to include a computer-readable medium, the medium must be a type of memory component which is disclosed in the specification.

Similarly claims 14-15 recite a computer program product which are essentially a programs (software program) and programs per se are non statutory. The Examiner suggests claiming the computer readable medium and not the program. "A computer readable medium encoded with computer readable program instructions, said computer readable program instructions comprising; a first computer readable program instruction for ------ sixth data"

Art Unit: 2624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,5,12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al (US 6078701) hereafter Hsu in view of Chen et al (US 6486908) hereafter Chen.

1. Regarding claim 1, Hsu discloses an image processing apparatus (Figs 1-3) for generating graphics data representing a single seamless planar image synthesized from a multiple sets of graphics data contained in a plurality of graphics files, in response to the plurality of graphics files each of which contains the graphics data composed of a multiplicity of planar pixels arrayed in a plane for representing a planar image, the image processing apparatus comprising:

a feature point extractor configured to extract a feature point which is an area having a predetermined characteristic, from each of the plurality of spheroidal images (one to one correspondence with points in the scene at Col 1 lines 48-49,Col 4 Lines 65 mapping points between image frames are the features extracted from the images and Col 6 line 54-55 where each point is in one-to-one correspondence);

Art Unit: 2624

a correspondence relationship determiner configured to determine a correspondence relationship of the extracted feature points, between the plurality of spheroidal images (Fig 9 and Col 6 lines 36-67 where the mapping is explained);

a spheroidal image synthesizer configured to generate seamless spheroidal graphics data representing a single seamless spheroidal image, by synthesizing a plurality of graphics data each of which representing each of the spheroidal images, with reference to the determined correspondence relationship (Fig 9 and Col 13 lines 61 through Col 14 lines 23); and

a planar image generator configured to generate the graphics data representing the single seamless planar image, from the seamless spheroidal image graphics data (Col 12 lines 63 through col 13 lines 57 where reference to image mappings constitutes the planar image from the spherical reference). Hsu discloses area of the mosaic based on the perspective projection at col 6 lines 36-67 however does not explicitly recite a synthesis area establisher configured to establish a spheroidal projection plane centered on a predetermined point, as an area for synthesis of the multiple sets of graphics data and a spheroidal image generator configured to generate a plurality of spheroidal images, by projecting each of planar images represented by each of the multiple sets of graphics data onto the projection plane.

Chen discloses a synthesis area establisher configured to establish a spheroidal projection plane centered on a predetermined point, as an area for

Application/Control Number: 10/531,192

Art Unit: 2624

synthesis of the multiple sets of graphics data and a spheroidal image generator configured to generate a plurality of spheroidal images, by projecting each of planar images represented by each of the multiple sets of graphics data onto the projection plane (Figs 13,14 and 19 where the area or the rectangle determines the number of images can fit and a rectangular (planar) image can be used to represent the spherical environment map at Col 6 lines 42-43, abstract also discloses the number of photographs required using the center point of each photographs). Chen discloses that the method and system as disclosed builds the spherical panoramas based on the focal length of the camera, the height of the film and the overlapping ratio between the films thus avoiding the use of fish eye lens giving a better panorama at Col 2 lines 39-**50**. Hsu and Chen are from the same field of endevour and are analogous art, therefore it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Chen in the apparatus of Hsu for the above reasons.

Page 6

2. Regarding claim 2, Hsu and Chen disclose the image processing apparatus in accordance with claim 1. Chen further disclose wherein the plurality of graphics files further include image attribute information which is attribute information of the graphics data (film height a, film width b, and focal length are the attributes Col 7 lines 20-25) wherein the image processing apparatus further comprises a focal distance determiner configured to determine a focal distance of

Art Unit: 2624

an optical system used to generate the multiple sets of graphics data for each of the multiple set of graphics data (focal length of the camera system col 2 lines 64), in response to the image attribute information; and the spheroidal image generator generates the plurality of spheroidal images by projecting each planar image represented by each of the multiple sets of graphics data onto the projection plane, the each planar images being placed at a location away from the predetermined point to the projection plane side, by the focal distance corresponding to each of the multiple sets of graphics data (the number of circles landscape is the planar determination of the images using the focal length of the camera at col 2 lines 53-60).

- 3. Regarding claim 3, Hsu and Chen disclose the image processing apparatus in accordance with claim 2. Chen discloses further the focal distance of the camera at (col 2 lines 53 through col 3), focal plane resolution, pixel size width and heights at (Col 6 lines 41-56).
- 4 Regarding claim 5, Hsu and Chen discloses and apparatus in accordance to claim 2. Chen discloses further the focal plane resolutions at (Col 6 Lines 12-56). Chen discloses further the focal length and the angle (180 degree) divided by the focal length (f) at (Col 7 Lines 47-50).

**5.** Claim 12 is a corresponding method claim of claim 1. See the explanation of claim 1.

**6.** Claim 14 is a corresponding computer program product claim of claim 1. See the explanation of claim 1.

Claims 6, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipscomb (US 6031541) hereafter Lipscomb in view of Hsu.

7. Regarding Claim 6, Lipscomb discloses an image processing apparatus (Fig
1) for generating graphics data representing a single seamless planar image
synthesized from a multiple sets of graphics data contained in a plurality of
graphics files, in response to the plurality of graphics files each of which contains
the graphics data composed of a multiplicity of planar pixels arrayed in a plane
for representing a planar image, the image processing apparatus comprising:

a synthesis area establisher configured to establish a cylindrical projection plane centered on a predetermined axis, as an area for synthesis of the multiple sets of graphics data (Fig 12 which shows the number of pictures needed and constitutes the area of the mosaic, Figs 3 and 4 shows multiple rectangular areas and the axis and projections with respect to the cylinder);

a cylindrical image generator configured to generate a plurality of cylindrical images, by projecting each of planar images represented by each of Application/Control Number: 10/531,192

Page 9

Art Unit: 2624

the multiple sets of graphics data onto the projection plane (Figs 5 and 12 which shows multiple cylindrical images projected and aligned in form of a mosaic in fig 12); a cylindrical image synthesizer configured to generate seamless cylindrical graphics data representing a single seamless cylindrical image, by synthesizing a plurality of graphics data each of which representing each of the cylindrical images, with reference to the determined correspondence relationship; and a planar image generator configured to generate the graphics data representing the single seamless planar image, from the seamless cylindrical image graphics data at (Col 6 lines 21-30 where the cylinder is "unrolled" to for a large planar rectangle consisting of the panoramic graphics image). Lipscomb discloses the color extraction (color of the panoramic scene at Col 2 line 67 through Col 3 lines 1 and Col 10 lines 35-47 explains the color features) and the correspondence mapping at (Fig 20 elements 205-207 the correspondence between the images are determined), however does not expressly recite

a feature point extractor configured to extract a feature point which is an area having a predetermined characteristic, from each of the plurality of cylindrical images and a correspondence relationship determiner configured to determine a correspondence relationship of the extracted feature points, between the plurality of cylindrical images.

Hsu discloses a feature point extractor configured to extract a feature point which is an area having a predetermined characteristic, from each of the

Art Unit: 2624

plurality of cylindrical images and a correspondence relationship determiner configured to determine a correspondence relationship of the extracted feature points, between the plurality of cylindrical images (one to one correspondence with points in the scene at Col 1 lines 48-49,Col 4 Lines 65 mapping points between image frames are the features extracted from the images, Col 4 lines 56-57 where cylindrical surface is disclosed and Col 6 line 54-55 where each point is in one-to-one correspondence). Hsu discloses that the system and method as disclosed accurately constructs seamless panoramic image from the sequence of images using topology, global registration at (Col 2 Lines 53-60). Therefore it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings of Hsu in the apparatus of Lipscomb to achieve the claimed invention.

- 8. Regarding claim 8, Lipscomb and Hsu disclose the image processing apparatus in accordance with claim 6. Lipscomb discloses further wherein the cylindrical image generator establishes the axis parallel to the height direction established in the graphics data (Fig 18 where the axis of the cylinder is parallel to the height of the images).
- **9.** Claim 13 is a corresponding method claim of claim 6. See the explanation of claim 6.

Art Unit: 2624

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu in view of Chen and in further view of Muramatsu. (US 5438380) hereafter Muramatsu..

10. Regarding claim 4, Hsu and Chen disclose the image processing apparatus in accordance with claim 2. Hsu and Chen both disclose photographic films (for eg Chen Col 3 Lines 59-67). Chen further discloses the spheroidal image generator determines 35 mm film size as a size of the planar image (Figs 13,14 and 19 where the area or the rectangle determines the number of images can fit and a rectangular (planar) image can be used to represent the spherical environment map at Col 6 lines 42-43, abstract also discloses the number of photographs required using the center point of each photographs), discloses the focal length of the camera system and the film height and the width (at col 3 Lines 1-18) however do not expressly recite wherein the image attribute information includes 35 mm-equivalent lens focal distance which is a value of focal distance converted to a 35 mm film camera basis; the focal distance determiner determines the 35 mm-equivalent lens focal distance to be the focal distance.

Muramatsu discloses the focal distance (length) of the film from 25mm to 35 mm and the image reproduced (Col 2 lines 18). Muramatsu discloses that the camera provides aspect ratio of good appearance of the photographic images when used in panoramic format (Col 1 lines 40-45 and 55-56). Muramatsu, Hsu

Art Unit: 2624

and Chen are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art, therefore it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings of Muramatsu in the apparatus of Hsu and Chen for the above reasons.

Claims 7, 9, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipscomb in view of Hsu and in further view of Chen et al (US 6486908) hereafter Chen.

11.Regarding claim 7, Lipscomb and Hsu disclose the image processing apparatus in accordance with claim 6. Lipscomb discloses the attributes of the images such as height and width of the images (Figs 18,19) being used in the panorama construction. Lipscomb and Hsu are silent and however do not expressly disclose wherein the plurality of graphics files further include image attribute information which is attribute information of the graphics data, wherein the image processing apparatus further comprises a focal distance determiner configured to determine a focal distance of an optical system used to generate the multiple sets of graphics data for each of the multiple set of graphics data, in response to the image attribute information; and the axis image generator generates the plurality of axis images by projecting each planar image represented by each of the multiple sets of graphics data onto the projection plane, the each planar images being placed at a location away from the

Art Unit: 2624

predetermined axis to the projection plane side, by the focal distance corresponding to each of the multiple sets of graphics data.

Chen discloses the focal length of the camera system (Col 3 lines 10) and constructs a warped images of each of the photographic images using the attributes (color) at (Col 3 lines 55-60, Col 12 lines 1-32) and thus constructing a seamless spherical image from the warped images and thus one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to extend the teachings of Chen in construction of cylindrical panoramas. Even the height and width of the film can be understood as the attributes. Chen discloses that the method and system as disclosed builds the spherical panoramas based on the focal length of the camera, the height of the film and the overlapping ratio between the films thus avoiding the use of fish eye lens giving a better panorama at Col 2 lines 39-50. Lipscomb, Hsu and Chen are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art, therefore it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Chen in the apparatus of Lipscomb and Hsu for the above reasons.

**12.** Regarding claim 9, Lipscomb, Hsu and Chen disclose the image processing apparatus in accordance with claim 7. Lipscomb discloses further pixel or image height at (Col 12 lines 1-3), pixel width direction at (Col 13 lines 1-12). Chen also discloses the focal plane resolutions at (Col 6 Lines 12-56).

Art Unit: 2624

**13.** Regarding claim 11, see the explanation of claim 9 and also see the angle over width calculation at **(Col 13 line 42 in Lipscomb)**.

**14.** Claim 15 is a corresponding computer program product claim of claim 6. See the explanation of claim 6.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipscomb, Hsu, Chen and in further view of Muramatsu.

15. Regarding claim 10, Lipscomb, Hsu and Chen disclose the image processing apparatus in accordance with claim 7. Lipscomb, Hsu and Chen discloses the photographic film however do not expressly recite 35 mm film size. Muramatsu discloses the focal distance (length) of the film from 25mm to 35 mm and the image reproduced (Col 2 lines 18). Muramatsu discloses that the camera provides aspect ratio of good appearance of the photographic images when used in panoramic format (Col 1 lines 40-45 and 55-56). Muramatsu, Lipscomb, Hsu and Chen are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art, therefore it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings of Muramatsu in the apparatus of Lipscomb, Hsu and Chen for the above reasons.

Art Unit: 2624

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAYESH A. PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-1227. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7.00am to 4.30 pm (5-4-9). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

09/2/08 /Jayesh A Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Samir A. Ahmed/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624